

JACK RUSSO (State Bar No. 96068)
TIM C. HALE (State Bar No. 114905)
JOHN KELLEY (State Bar No. 100714)
RUSSO & HALE LLP
401 Florence Street
Palo Alto, CA 94301
Telephone: (650) 327-9800
Facsimile: (650) 327-3737
Email: jrusso@computerlaw.com
thale@computerlaw.com
jkelley@computerlaw.com

PUBLIC VERSION

Attorneys for defendants and counterclaimants
ROMI MAYDER, SILICON TEST SYSTEMS, INC.,
SILICON TEST SOLUTIONS LLC, and WESLEY
MAYDER

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

VERIGY US, INC., a Delaware Corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

ROMI MAYDER, an individual; WESLEY
MAYDER, an individual; SILICON TEST
SYSTEMS, INC., a California Corporation;
and SILICON TEST SOLUTIONS, LLC, a
California Limited Liability Corporation,
inclusive,

Defendants.

AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.

Case No. 5:07-cv-04330-RMW (HRL)

**DECLARATION OF TIM C. HALE IN
SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS'
OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION
TO DISCLOSE HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL-
ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY MATERIALS
TO TWO ADDITIONAL EXPERTS**

Date: September 9, 2008

Time: 10 a.m..

Before: Hon. Howard R. Lloyd

Complaint Filed: August 22, 2007

Trial Date: December 8, 2008 (jury trial)

(Defendants have elected to reserve their jury
trial rights under F.R.C.P., Rule 38)

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL—ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

DOCUMENT SUBMITTED UNDER SEAL

1 I, Tim C. Hale, hereby declare as follows:

2 1. I am an attorney with the law firm of Russo & Hale LLP, counsel for defendants
3 Romi Mayder, Silicon Test Systems, Inc., Silicon Test Solutions LLC, and Wesley Mayder in this
4 action. I am a member in good standing for over 20 years of the State Bar of California, and am
5 licensed to practice in the Northern District of California. I make the statements herein of my
6 personal knowledge, unless stated on information and belief, which matters I believe to be true,
7 and could and would testify to the matters set forth herein if called as a witness.

8 2. Plaintiff Verigy US, Inc. ("Verigy") has named no fewer than seven different
9 technical experts in this matter, namely Messrs. or Ms. Wei, Pathak, Wakerly, Bharambhatt,
10 Sakamoto, Gillette and West, the latter two of which are the subject of Verigy's motion to
11 disclose. Four of these experts have not been objected to by Defendants or objections have been
12 resolved: Wei, Pathak, Wakerly and Bharambhatt. Mr. Wei has already testified extensively in this
13 matter, and in particular, has addressed the very issue that Verigy claims it needs additional
14 technical experts in connection with, namely the differences between the requirements for testing
15 NOR versus NAND flash memory devices. Attached hereto as Exhibit 1 is a true and correct copy
16 of Mr. Wei's declaration submitted on reply in connection with Verigy's request for a preliminary
17 injunction against Defendants. At paragraphs 15-16, 22-25 and elsewhere in this Declaration, Mr.
18 Wei provides extensive testimony regarding NOR and NAND flash memory. Nowhere in
19 Verigy's motion papers, however, do they address why Mr. Wei's expertise is such that Verigy
20 requires additional experts on the issue.

21 3. In its motion papers, Verigy cites to Defendants' position that its technology is
22 complementary rather than competitive to Verigy's technology. What Verigy fails to apprise the
23 Court of, however, is that Verigy has taken the exact opposite position in this litigation, having
24 argued repeatedly that Defendants are directly competing with it. Attached hereto as Exhibits 2
25 and 3 are two briefs in which Verigy asserts that Defendants are directly competing with Verigy
26 [see Verigy's Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendants' Summary
27 Adjudication Motion as to 3rd-5th Claims for Relief, at page 9 ("Mayder took these actions to
28 further his *budding competing business venture....*") and Verigy's *Ex Parte* Application for

1 Temporary Restraining Order Etc. at pages 14, 17, 20 (references to “*competing product*” and
2 “*competing business*” in describing Defendants)] (emphasis added).

3 4. Attached hereto as Exhibit 4 is a true and correct copy of a United States patent
4 issued to several inventors, one of whom, Bernard West, is one of the two new experts that Verigy
5 desires to show Defendants’ trade secret information, which patent was assigned to a company
6 called Credence Systems. This patent was issued in 2005 and addresses an invention directly
7 related to putting an integrated circuit on ATE equipment for purposes of achieving greater
8 parallel testing, or as it is sometimes called, resource sharing.

9 5. Attached hereto as Exhibit 5 is a true and correct copy of a publication that I am
10 informed and believe is a well-known publication, *The Final Test Report*, vol. 19, no. 9, Sept.
11 2008 available through subscription at http://www.ikonix.com/public_html/index1_n.htm, in the
12 ATE industry. At page 3 of this document, the author of the publication refers to ongoing
13 consolidation in the industry.

14 6. In Verigy’s motion papers, it seeks an additional week’s time in order to tender any
15 expert reports from its new experts. Verigy bases this request on so-called “delay” by Defendants.
16 The only delay at issue here was Verigy’s delay in naming a slew of new experts at the last
17 minute; Defendants simply followed the Court’s protective order in this action and timely lodged
18 their objections to those new alleged experts. Further, at no time during our meet and confer
19 session did Verigy bring up this issue, attempt to resolve it or in any way suggest that it would be
20 seeking this relief from the Court.

21 7. Attached hereto as Exhibit 7 is a true and correct copy of an announcement on the
22 corporate website for a new company called LTX-Credence, which is stated to be the result of a
23 merger between LTX and Credence, two ATE companies, on August 29, 2008, less than a week
24 ago.

25 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the
26 forgoing is true and correct. Executed on September 3, 2008 in Palo Alto, California.

27 /s/ Tim C. Hale
28 Tim C. Hale